

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/052,949 | 01/17/2002 | Carolyn Jean Cupp | 112701-332 | 112701-332 3984 | |
| 29157 | 7590 01/27/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC | | | HENDRICKS, KEITH D | | |
| P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO II | 35 L 60690-1135 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| omerido, n | 00070 1100 | | 1761 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/052,949 | CUPP ET AL. | | | | | |
| ,, , , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Keith Hendricks | 1761 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely | ation. A proper reply n places the applica | y to a tion in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly | | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 9 and 31. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-30 and 32</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Initially, in the response of 12-18-03, applicant states that they have canceled claims 1-7 and 14-28. However, this was neither formally requested at any point in applicants' submission, nor is it reflected in the Listing of Claims submitted at said date.

Furthermore, the claims do not comply with 37 CFR 1.121, in that the amendments to which applicants refer in their response, are not reflected in the claims themselves. As stated in the revision to 37 CFR 1.121 as of July 2003, "all claims being currently amended shall b submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims." Applicants have stated that they have amended certain claims to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph; however, this is not apparent from the claims since they were not presented in the proper format.

It is also noted that a simple cancelation of claims 1-7 and 14-28 would improperly leave certain claims dependent upon canceled claims.

If the amendment was entered, the application would stand with certain claims in allowable condition, and others still rejected. The period for reply to the Final Rejection has expired, and applicants did not file a Notice of Appeal. However, whether the amendment was entered or not, the application is not in allowable condition, and thus the status of the application is <u>ABANDONED</u>. An examiner's amendment would not have been possible at this time, since the period for response has expired.

The Terminal Disclaimer filed 12-18-03 has been entered, and thus the double-patenting rejection of claims 1-32 has been overcome.

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER